

## ES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address:

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/265,385	03/10/99	UCHIYAMA		К	003510-033
-			コ		EXAMINER
021839		QM12/0227			
BURNS DOANE	SWECKER &	MATHIS L L P		POLLAR POLLAR	D,S
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA \	/A 22313-14	04		3727	8
				DATE MAILED	)•
				DATE MAILED	02/27/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

## Application No. 09/265,385

**Advisory Action** 

Examiner

**Group Art Unit** 

Steven M. Pollard

3727

Uchiyama



<b>T</b> 1 2 2	C DED!	OD FOR RESPONSE: [aback only a) or h)]			
		OD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]			
	a) [				
		is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.			
	date on	tension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The n which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ted from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.			
	Appell.	lant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).			
Ap but	plicant t is NO	I's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Feb 20, 2001</u> has been considered with the following effect, or deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:			
	The pr	roposed amendment(s):			
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.					
		ill not be entered because:			
		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).			
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).			
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.			
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
	NO.	TE:			
	☐ Ar	pplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):			
	_	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a			
	separ	ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.			
X	The a	affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition			
	for all	lowance because:			
	ot the	e reasons set forth in the Final Rejection of record.			
		affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by			
		efficiently to exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by examiner in the final rejection.			
X		urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):			
		s allowed: none			
		s objected to: none			
		is rejected: 1-24			
		proposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.			
		the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)			
_ <b>~</b> ∕		all			
A	Other	5 dated 8/3/29 Stevenson Pollers			
	II	5 dated 8/3/89 Steven 2011			
		Steven Pollard Primary Examiner			